

**REMARKS**

Amendments to claims 1, 23, 44, 49, 55, 58, and 63-71 are for the purpose of clarifying what Applicants regard as the invention. No new matter has been added.

I. **TITLE OF THE INVENTION**

The title of the application stands objected to as not being descriptive. The title has been amended to correspond with the claimed subject matter.

II. **CLAIM OBJECTIONS**

Claims 44 and 55 stand objected to as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Claims 44 and 55 have been amended to remove the alleged deficiencies pointed out in the Office Action.

III. **CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13-15, 21-27, 30-32, 34, 35, 37-57, 63, 65, 67, 68, 70, and 71 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,205,481 (Heddaya).

Claim 1 recites prefabricating a page, wherein the prefabricating is *not in response to a request for the page by a user*. Claims 23, 49, 63, 70, and 71 each recites a similar limitation. Applicants respectfully submit that Heddaya does not disclose or suggest such limitation. Rather, Heddaya discloses determining whether a cache server 16 includes cached data requested by a user, and if so, a copy is sent to the user, thereby eliminating the need for transmitting the request to a home server 20 (column 6, line 51 to column 7, line 56; figure 1). It is understood from Heddaya that the data in the cache server 16 is pre-cached as a result of a request by a previous user. As such, Heddaya does not disclose or suggest prefabricating a page, wherein the prefabricating is not in response to a request by a user (e.g., fabricating a page in response to a request by a module, such as the prefabricator described in the subject application).

According to the Office Action, column 7, lines 10-11 discloses prefabricating a page in accordance with a definable prefabrication policy to produce a first prefabrication page.

However, column 7, lines 10-11 discloses, "Copies of documents are located in the network at cache servers 16." As such, the cited passage does not disclose or suggest prefabricating a page, wherein the prefabricating is not in response to a request for the page by a user. For at least the foregoing reason, claims 1, 23, 49, 63, 70, 71 and their respective dependent claims are believed allowable over Heddaya.

IV. CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 6, 9, 19, 28, 36, 58-62, and 64 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heddaya in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,192 (Reiche). Claims 6, 9, 19, 28, 36, and 64 are believed allowable for at least the reason that their respective independent claims are allowable.

Claim 58 recites prefabricating a first page to produce a first prefabricated page, wherein the prefabricating is not in response to a request for the first page by a user. As discussed, Heddaya does not disclose or suggest such limitation. However, Reiche fails to make up the deficiency present in Heddaya. As the Examiner correctly pointed out, Reiche discloses receiving an information request from a user, and providing a page in response to the request. As such, Reiche teaches away from prefabricating a first page, wherein the prefabricating is *not* in response to a request for the first page by a user. Since Heddaya and Reiche both fail to disclose or suggest the above limitation, they cannot be combined to form the resulting subject matter of claim 58. For at least the foregoing reason, claim 58 and its dependent claims are believed allowable over Heddaya, Reiche, and their combination.

V. INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Applicants had previously submitted an Information Disclosure Statement dated June 12, 2003, but have not yet received an initialed copy of the corresponding form PTO/SB/08a. A copy of the Transmittal Form, Information Disclosure Statement, PTO/SB/08a, and stamped return postcard are submitted herewith. Applicants hereby respectfully request an initialed copy of the form PTO/SB/08a.


**CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, all remaining claims are in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions or comments regarding this response, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Bingham McCutchen LLP

Dated: 10/28/04

By:   
Gerald Chan  
Registration No. 51,541

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
Telephone: (650) 849-4960  
Telefax: (650) 849-4800